



## Epidemiologic Notes & Reports

Volume 32 Number 7

July 1997

### Disease Surveillance Regulation (902 KAR 2:020) Summary

On June 16, 1997, the first major revision in several years to Kentucky's reportable disease administrative regulation (now called Disease Surveillance regulation) went into effect. The Division of Epidemiology has recognized for some time the need to:

- eliminate those diseases which are no longer of public health importance from the list of required reporting;
- better define the roles of the reporting providers, the local health departments, and the Division of Epidemiology in surveillance; and
- change the approach for some diseases from routine reporting by physicians and hospitals, to sentinel surveillance or to surveillance conducted exclusively through clinical laboratories.

Kentucky Administrative Regulation 902 KAR 2:020, as amended effective June 16, accomplishes all of these goals.

Other noteworthy features of the administrative regulation are:

- 1 Reportable diseases are divided into those requiring urgent notification (within 24 hours), those requiring priority notification (within one business day), and those requiring routine notification (within five business days). Responsibility for investigating occurrences of diseases in the first group resides at the state level. The Communicable Disease Branch in Frankfort will soon be equipped with an answering machine, which will be checked daily on weekends and holidays, to respond to these reports. The regulation provides a mechanism for rapid reporting which does not require nor allow confidential medical information to be left on tape. Responsibility for investigating diseases in the second group resides with the local health department. The Communicable Disease Branch will render assistance on request. Reports of diseases in the third group will be tabulated and analyzed only -- unless the pattern of occurrence calls for a special investigation.
- 2 The giving of rabies post-exposure prophylaxis (rabies shots) to humans is now a reportable event. This surveillance system will be managed by Dr. Mike Auslander, state public health veterinarian.
- 3 A mechanism is set up for sentinel surveillance of resistant infections in hospitals. It is intended that hospitals volunteer for this project -- which will be the responsibility of a nurse consultant in the Surveillance and Investigations Branch.
- 4 The mechanism for AIDS/HIV reporting has been further clarified, and the national HIV and AIDS reporting forms have been adopted as regulation incorporated by reference. The report form for other diseases is provided for the convenience of those reporting, but is not required by regulation. (See the Insert in this issue.)
- 5 The existing reporting requirement for lead poisoning has been retained, until the Division of Maternal and Child Health adopts its own regulation to further outline the requirements for lead surveillance.
- 6 In response to comments by several interested parties, the three-month reporting requirement for three occupational lung diseases has been retained. Arrangements are pending with the University of Kentucky Department of Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health to assume responsibility for surveillance of these conditions on behalf of the Division of Epidemiology.
- 7 By an extremely fortunate coincidence in timing, the new set of disease surveillance case definitions arrived from CDC in early May, and the Legislative Research Commission was kind enough to allow us a last-minute amendment to incorporate these by reference instead of the 1990 version. Copies of *Case Definitions for Infectious Conditions Under Public Health Surveillance* are available from the Surveillance and Investigations Branch, or on the Internet if you have the appropriate software to read them.
- 8 At the request of the infection control nurses, we have prepared a Diagrammatic Guide for Disease Reporting, to accompany the new reporting form. Single copies of these were mailed to local health departments and infection control nurses in June. An additional supply is available from the Surveillance and Investigations Branch.

We welcome any questions regarding the new regulation. Please call Dr. Mike Auslander, state public health veterinarian and chief of the S&I Branch at (502) 564-3418, Pat Beeler, reportable disease registrar, at the same number, or Dr. Clarkson Palmer, Communicable Disease Branch Manager and managing supervisor of the Division of Epidemiology, at (502) 564-3261 or (502) 564-7243.

## Rabies Postexposure Prophylaxis Survey, Kentucky, 1994

The following article appeared in *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, Volume 33, Number 2, April-June 1997

A survey of rabies postexposure prophylaxis administered by local health departments for a 1-year period showed that very few patients received treatment as a result of exposure to a confirmed rabid animal. Most prophylaxis was administered for contact with domestic animals in situations where existing recommendations for quarantine or laboratory testing of the animal were not followed. Because rabies in domestic animals in Kentucky is uncommon, these findings suggest that had the existing recommendations been followed, the prophylaxis would have been unnecessary in most cases.

Rabies postexposure prophylaxis (PEP) is expensive, not totally free of risk, and overused (1). A national public health objective for the year 2000 is to reduce the number of prophylaxis treatments by 50% (2). In Kentucky, where PEP is administered in public and private settings, there are no baseline data on PEP use.

A survey of local health departments was used to determine the nature of each patient's exposure to rabies. The number of PEP treatments administered by all providers in Kentucky was estimated from local health department information on rabies biologics purchases and use.

### SURVEY AND SALES SUMMARY

In May 1995, the 1994 invoices of the Kentucky Department for Health Services, ( now Department for Public Health) Vaccine Depot, were reviewed to determine which local health departments received 1.0 ml doses of human diploid cell vaccine for PEP. (Local health departments used 1.0 ml human diploid cell vaccine for PEP only, and 0.1 ml human diploid cell vaccine intradermally for all rabies preexposure prophylaxis). Data from two large health departments that acquired their vaccine directly from the manufacturer rather than from the Vaccine Depot were included in the survey. In June 1995, local health departments that had administered at least one PEP during 1994 were asked to review the records of patients receiving PEP. Information (patient's age and sex, the number of doses of human diploid cell vaccine, whether human rabies immune globulin was administered, exposure information, and method of payment for the treatment) collected on each patient was recorded on a standardized form by the same telephone surveyor during a

followup telephone call. All data were entered into an Epi Info Version 5.0 record file and analyzed in either the Analysis or Statcalc Programs for summary statistics and/or odds ratios, confidence intervals, Fisher's exact test, or Chi-square at the .05 significance level (3).

A sales record summary for human diploid cell vaccine sold to all providers in Kentucky was obtained from the only manufacturer of human rabies vaccine recording any sales in Kentucky that year (Connaught Laboratories, Inc., Swiftwater, PA). The number of PEPs administered in the state by all providers was estimated by comparing local health department purchases and use with the total number of human diploid cell vaccine 1.0 ml doses sold to other providers with Kentucky addresses.

### PEP ADMINISTRATION PROFILE

Vaccine Depot records indicated that 28 health departments treated a total of 97 patients. The number of PEP regimens administered per health department ranged from 1 to 23 with a median of 1 PEP for the year. Fifty-two (53.6%) of the patients were male (Table 1); the median age was 28 years (range 2 to 71); 34 (35.1%) patients were younger than 18 years of age; 59 (60.8%) were older than 18 years of age; and for 4 (4.1%), age was unknown. No significant differences were observed in the type of animal exposure by sex or age. Seven patients (7.2%) had previously received PEP and were treated with two to three doses of human diploid cell vaccine and no human rabies immune globulin.

Urban health departments (in the three metropolitan statistical areas of the state) were more likely to administer PEP than rural health departments (odds ratio = 1.54, confidence interval = 1.01, 2.33) (4). Patients did not significantly differ in age, sex, or type of exposure between urban and rural health departments.

For 25 (25.8%) of the patients, local health department funds covered the expense of PEP treatments; no payment was received from private insurance, Medicaid, Medicare, or the patient. There were no significant differences in payment characteristics between urban and rural health department patients.

**Table 1. Characteristics of local health department patients receiving rabies postexposure prophylaxis.**

<b>SEX</b>	
Male	52
Female	43
Unspecified	2
<b>AGE<sup>a</sup></b>	
Youth (2 - 10)	19
Adolescent (11 - 17)	15
Adult (18-71)	59
Unspecified	4
<b>Health department location<sup>b</sup></b>	
Urban	48
Rural	49
Previously immunized	6
<b>Animal exposure</b>	
Wild	15
Domestic (50 dogs, 29 cats, 1 horse)	80
Unspecified	2
<b>Type of exposure</b>	
Bite or contact with saliva	72
No contact with saliva	17
Unspecified	8
<b>Treatment payer</b>	
Private insurance	39
Medicaid	7
Medicare	3
Patient	14
Other (employer, worker's compensation)	3
Unspecified	6
No reimbursement	25

(N=97)

<sup>a</sup> mean = 28 yrs.<sup>b</sup> Health departments in urban areas, as defined by the 1990 census of population for Kentucky. Metropolitan statistical areas were more likely to administer PEP than rural departments. (p=.033)

owned dogs that were unavailable for testing or observation. Unavailability for testing was due to severe brain damage caused by clubbing or gunshot by irate owners, death and disposal of the animal without testing, or the animal's escape. For 36 (37%) incidents, the reason for not testing or observing the animal was not specified.

Thirteen (13.4%) of the patients were exposed to an animal that was tested and found to be positive for rabies, and two of these patients had bite exposures. The remaining exposures to these rabies-positive animals were either low-risk exposures or not

**Table 2. Patients receiving postexposure prophylaxis for exposure to a confirmed rabid animal in Kentucky, 1994**

Species	Type of exposure	Previous history of prophylaxis
Bat	Bite	No
Cat(a)	Mucus & Saliva	Yes(b)
Cat(a)	Mucus & Saliva	No
Cat(a)	Cleaned exam table	No
Cat(a)	Cleaned exam instruments	No
Dog(c)	Bite	Yes
Dog(c)	Touch	Yes
Dog(c)	Touch	Yes
Dog(c)	Touch	Yes
Dog(c)	Touch	No
Dog(c)	Touch	No
Horse	Sutured wound	Yes(b)
Skunk	Touch	No

(a) Same cat

(b) Veterinarian with history of preexposure prophylaxis

(c) Same dog

Bite exposures were responsible for 71 (73.2%) of the 97 PEP treatments, 18 (18.6%) exposures were scratches, licks, or "other," and 8 (8.2%) exposure types were not recorded. Domestic animals accounted for 80 (82.5%) of the exposures treated.

#### TYPE OF ANIMAL EXPOSURE

Sixty-four (77.1%) of 83 animals involved in these incidents were not available for observation or testing. For wild animals, testing was performed in 3 (20%) of 15 incidents. Testing or observation occurred in only 16 (20.0%) of 80 domestic animal exposures.

Stray domestic animals accounted for 26 (26.8%) of all exposures. Another 19 (19.6%) of the incidents involved

true exposures (Table 2).

#### TOTAL ESTIMATE OF STATE RABIES POSTEXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS

Kentucky sales in 1994 for human diploid cell vaccine 1.0 ml to nonmilitary providers and distributors totaled 1,603 doses. The health departments ordered 700 of these doses, of which 445 were used for PEP in that same year. The other doses remained as inventory. Assuming that other users administered human diploid cell vaccine 1.0 ml in a similar proportion ( $445/700=.64$ ), the private sector administered 578 doses ( $903 \times .64$ ) of human diploid cell vaccine 1.0 ml. Comparing actual local health department use of human diploid cell vaccine 1.0 ml and estimated use by others, local health departments administered 43.5% ( $445/(445+578)$ ) of the human diploid cell vaccine 1.0 ml used in the state in 1994. Therefore, the estimated total number of PEP patients in the

state is 223 (97/.435) for 1994.

Exact total costs for PEP administration cannot be calculated since most treatments were made by private providers. The actual cost of biologics to local health department patients in 1994 was \$68,850. Estimated costs of biologics used by private providers (based on estimates of hospital pharmacy costs in Connecticut in 1994) would be \$180,180 for a typical patient (126 patients x \$1,430) (5). Estimated total costs of biologics is \$249,030. Unknown costs include medical and hospital care, local health department investigation of the incident, state health department consultations, and loss of work income by the patient.

### STUDY LIMITATIONS

Because records at the local health departments were not always complete or as detailed as desired, certain variables could not be analyzed for all 97 cases; information about why the suspect animal was not tested or observed for rabies was absent from more than 10% of the cases. Since no detailed information was obtained from the private sector, we assumed that the number of doses used per patient, inventory, waste, spoilage, and other factors influencing PEP use in the private sector were similar to those in the public sector. Kentucky residents receiving PEP in another state and out-of-state residents receiving PEP in Kentucky would not be specifically accounted for in our estimate.

The difference in urban versus rural PEP administration could be due to differences in the number of animals or bite incidents; however, the number of animals or animal bites statewide is not known. An investigation of prescribing practices of full-time physicians at large, urban health departments and part-time or contract physicians at small, rural health departments might determine if these practices contributed to treatment disparity.

### GUIDELINES AND NONCOMPLIANCE

Guidelines for determining exposures that warrant PEP exist

(6,7). Ideally, any animal involved in a human exposure should be confined and observed or tested for rabies, whichever is appropriate. It is understandable that most of the wild animals might have escaped and not be available for testing. However, the large proportion of domestic animals unavailable for testing indicates inappropriate handling of the incident or a breach of existing laws (5-7).

Six people received PEP due to exposure to a single dog with laboratory-confirmed rabies. This particular incident illustrates how "anything that can go wrong will go wrong." First, the dog had been vaccinated by the owner. It is illegal for individual owners to vaccinate their own dogs in Kentucky (8). Second, the vaccine may have failed for any number of reasons, including vaccine failure, improper handling/administration of the vaccine, or failure to vaccinate. Third, only one of these patients was bitten; the other five reported only touching the dog and probably were not exposed. Fourth, none of these patients had insurance or was able to pay for treatment; thus, the local health departments spent several thousand dollars in unbudgeted expenses. Furthermore, four of these patients had received PEP before.

Noncompliance with existing public health recommendations and laws contributes to the number of rabies exposure incidents in Kentucky. PEP administration in Kentucky could be reduced if existing recommendations and laws were adhered to by the public and health care providers. Accurate and complete record keeping is essential for assessing the use of PEP. Additionally, making PEP a notifiable (reportable) condition would allow public health agencies to assess PEP administration in the private sector.

This article was contributed by: Michael Auslander, DVM, MSPH, State Public Health Veterinarian, and Colleen Kaelin, Kentucky Department for Public Health.

## Emergency Phone Numnbers – Evening or Weekend

For any emergency phone call after the normal working hours of 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM - Monday - Friday you may contact a staff member of the Division of Epidemiology as shown below:

**Peggy Wright, RN**

**(502) 839-5422**

**Michael Auslander, DVM, MSPH**

**(502) 493-8177**

**Clarkson Palmer, MD, MPH**

**(502) 223-4607**

**KENTUCKY EPIDEMIOLOGIC NOTES & REPORTS**

Printed With State Funds

by the

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY  
CABINET FOR HEALTH SERVICES  
DEPARTMENT FOR PUBLIC HEALTH  
275 EAST MAIN STREET  
FRANKFORT, KENTUCKY 40621

*Kentucky Epidemiologic Notes and Reports* is a free, monthly publication of the Kentucky Department for Public Health. Materials may be reproduced without permission. For more information call 502-564-3418.

**Rice C. Leach, MD**, Commissioner  
Department for Public Health

and

Acting Director, Division of Epidemiology

**Joyce A. Bothe**, Editor, Assistant Director,  
Division of Epidemiology

**Nancy Yates**, Managing Editor

## ***In This Issue . . .***

Disease Surveillance Regulation (902 KAR 2:020) Summary .....	1
Rabies Postexposure Prophylaxis, .....	2-4
Kentucky, 1994	
Emergency Consult Phone Numbers .....	4
Selected Reportable Diseases.....	5
Reportable Disease Reporting Form EPID-200 .....	Insert

## ***Arrival . . . .***

**New Reportable Disease Reporting Form (EPID-200) - See Insert**

The insert in this issue of *Kentucky Epidemiological Notes and Reports* is a copy of the new Reportable Disease Reporting form. The new reportable diseases and conditions are on this form as are the new reporting time frames. Please use this insert to make copies for reporting diseases and conditions on the list or contact your local health department or the Division of Epidemiology for these new forms.

The article on Page 1 of this issue summarizes the recently promulgated administrative regulation, 902 KAR 2:020, Disease Surveillance. A copy of this new administrative regulation, which became effective on June 16, 1997, can be found in the July issue of the Legislative Research Commissions publication, *The Administrative Register*, or you may contact the Surveillance and Investigation Branch of the Division of Epidemiology for a copy of 902 KAR 2:020. The phone number for the Surveillance and Investigation Branch is (502) 564-3418.

---

## *In This Issue . . .*

Disease Surveillance Regulation (902 KAR 2:020) Summary .....	1
Rabies Postexposure Prophylaxis,..... Kentucky, 1994	2-4
Emergency Consult Phone Numbers.....	4
Selected Reportable Diseases .....	5
Reportable Disease Reporting Form EPID-200 .....	Insert

This is a copy of the announcement which will appear in the July issue of *Kentucky Epidemiologic Notes and Reports* and a copy of the new Reportable Disease Reporting Form (EPID-200, Revised 6/97). You may make copies of the insert and begin using it for reporting after June 16, 1997. Please maintain an original form for future copying.

## *Arrival . . . .*

**New Reportable Disease Reporting Form (EPID-200, Revised 6/97) - See Insert**

The insert in this issue of *Kentucky Epidemiologic Notes and Reports* is a copy of the new Reportable Disease Reporting form. The new reportable diseases and conditions are on this form as are the new reporting time frames. Please use this insert to make copies for reporting diseases and conditions on the list or contact your local health department or the Division of Epidemiology for these new forms.

The article on Page 1 of this issue summarizes the recently promulgated administrative regulation, 902 KAR 2:020, Disease Surveillance. A copy of this new administrative regulation, which became effective on June 16, 1997, can be found in the July issue of the Legislative Research Commissions publication, *The Administrative Register*, or you may contact the Surveillance and Investigation Branch of the Division of Epidemiology for a copy of 902 KAR 2:020. The phone number for the Surveillance and Investigation Branch is (502) 564-3418.

---

## KENTUCKY REPORTABLE DISEASE FORM

Cabinet for Health Services  
Department for Public Health  
275 East Main Street  
Frankfort, KY 40621  
(502) 564-3418

Please complete legibly the following information for each occurrence of a reportable disease (listed below).

Disease name \_\_\_\_\_

Patient Name \_\_\_\_\_ Last \_\_\_\_\_ First \_\_\_\_\_ MI \_\_\_\_\_ DOB \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Age \_\_\_\_\_ Circle one in each box:

Address \_\_\_\_\_ Street \_\_\_\_\_ City \_\_\_\_\_ Zip \_\_\_\_\_ Sex ☐ M ☐ F ☐ U Race ☐ B ☐ W ☐ A ☐ P ☐ I ☐ I ☐ A ☐ N ☐ O Ethnicity ☐ H ☐ N ☐ H

County \_\_\_\_\_ Home Phone # (\_\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Date of onset \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Date of diagnosis \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

Diagnosed by \_\_\_\_\_ Phone # (\_\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

List symptoms \_\_\_\_\_ Highest temperature \_\_\_\_\_ Days of diarrhea \_\_\_\_\_

Associated with daycare? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ Name of daycare \_\_\_\_\_

Food handler? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ Where? \_\_\_\_\_ Associated with outbreak? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_

Hospital adm. date \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Discharge date \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Name of hospital \_\_\_\_\_

Comments \_\_\_\_\_

LABORATORY INFORMATION			
DATE	TEST	SPECIMEN SOURCE	RESULT

#### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASES ONLY

Disease stage and type \_\_\_\_\_ Date reported \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Date treated \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

Type and amount of treatment \_\_\_\_\_ If syphilis, was previous treatment given for this infection? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_

If yes, approximate date \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ and place \_\_\_\_\_

Method of case detection:  
 Prenatal \_\_\_\_ Community & Screening \_\_\_\_ Delivery \_\_\_\_ Instit. Screening \_\_\_\_ Reactor \_\_\_\_  
 Provider Report \_\_\_\_ Volunteer \_\_\_\_

902 KAR 2:020 requires health professionals to report the following diseases to the local health departments serving the jurisdiction in which the patient resides or Department for Public Health. (Copies of 902 KAR 2:020 available upon request).

✿ Please note: Complete additional information for selected diseases.

#### REPORT WITHIN 24 HOURS

Anthrax Botulism Cholera Diphtheria Enceph. California group Enceph. Eastern equine Enceph. St. Louis Enceph. Western	Group A streptococcal infection, invasive Hansen's disease Hantavirus infection ✿ <i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> invasive disease Measles ✿Meningococcal infection	Pertussis Plague Polio myelitis Psittacosis Rabies, human Rubella Rubella syndrome, congenital	Syphilis, primary, secondary, early latent or congenital Tetanus Toxic shock syndrome Typhoid fever Yellow fever
--	--	--	--

#### REPORT WITHIN ONE (1) BUSINESS DAY

<i>E.coli</i> O157:H7 Ehrlichiosis ✿Hepatitis A	Lyme disease Malaria Mumps	✿Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever Shigellosis	Tuberculosis Animal conditions known to be communicable to man
---	----------------------------------	--	---

#### REPORT WITHIN FIVE (5) BUSINESS DAYS

†AIDS Brucellosis Chancroid Chlamydia trachomatis infection Gonorrhea	✿Hepatitis B, acute ✿Hepatitis B, in a pregnant woman or a child born in or after 1992 ✿Hepatitis C, acute Histoplasmosis	†HIV infections Lead poisoning Legionellosis ✿Listeriosis ✿Rabies post-exposure prophylaxis	Syphilis, other than primary, secondary, early latent or congenital Tularemia
---	--	---	--

† All cases of HIV infections/AIDS are reportable for a separate surveillance system in accordance with KRS 211.180(1)b. To obtain report forms contact the HIV/AIDS Branch at (502) 564-6539. DO NOT REPORT ON THIS FORM.

#### REPORT ON A WEEKLY BASIS

Campylobacter isolates	Cryptosporidium oocysts	Influenza virus isolates	Salmonella isolates
------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------	---------------------

NOTE: Animal bites shall be reported to local health departments within twelve (12) hours in accordance with KRS 258.065

Person completing form \_\_\_\_\_ Date completed \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Phone # (\_\_\_\_\_) \_\_\_\_\_ - \_\_\_\_\_

Agency \_\_\_\_\_

**\*ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR BACTERIAL MENINGITIS AND BACTEREMIA CASES**

**TYPE OF INFECTION CAUSED BY ORGANISM:**

(Check all that apply)

Primary  
 Bacteremia \_\_\_\_ Cellulitis \_\_\_\_ Septic arthritis \_\_\_\_  
 Meningitis \_\_\_\_ Epiglottitis \_\_\_\_ Conjunctivitis \_\_\_\_  
 Otitis media \_\_\_\_ Peritonitis \_\_\_\_ Pericarditis \_\_\_\_  
 Pneumonia \_\_\_\_ Other (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

**BACTERIAL SPECIES ISOLATED FROM ANY NORMALLY STERILE SITE:\***

(Check one)

*Neisseria meningitidis* \_\_\_\_ *Streptococcus pneumoniae*\* (pneumococcus) \_\_\_\_  
*Haemophilus influenzae* \_\_\_\_ Other Bacterial Species\* \_\_\_\_  
 Group B streptococcus \_\_\_\_ (Specify: include mycobacteria fungi)  
*Listeria monocytogenes* \_\_\_\_  
 \* (Report ONLY CSF isolates for Pneumococcus or Other Bacterial Species)

**SPECIMEN FROM WHICH ORGANISM ISOLATED: (Check all that apply)**

Blood \_\_\_\_ CSF \_\_\_\_ Pleural Fluid \_\_\_\_ Peritoneal Fluid \_\_\_\_ Pericardial Fluid \_\_\_\_ Joint \_\_\_\_ Placenta \_\_\_\_ Other Normally Sterile Site : (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

Number of contacts prophylaxed \_\_\_\_\_

**HAEMOPHILUS INFLUENZAE:**

Did patient receive *Haemophilus b* vaccine? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_

How many doses did patient receive? \_\_\_\_\_

What was serotype? Type b \_\_\_\_ Not tested or unknown \_\_\_\_

Other \_\_\_\_ (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

**NEISSERIA MENINGITIDIS**

What was the serogroup? Group A \_\_\_\_ Group B \_\_\_\_ Group C \_\_\_\_

Group Y \_\_\_\_ Group W135 \_\_\_\_ Not groupable \_\_\_\_ Unknown \_\_\_\_

Not Typable \_\_\_\_ Other \_\_\_\_ (Specify) \_\_\_\_\_

If *N. meningitidis* was isolated from blood or CSF, was it resistant to:

Sulfa - Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_ Rifampin - Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_

If *H. influenzae* was isolated from blood or CSF, was it resistant to:

Ampicillin - Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_ Chloramphenicol - Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_

**\*ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR ACUTE VIRAL HEPATITIS**

Pregnant ? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

Jaundice? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_

List Other Symptoms: \_\_\_\_\_

**Laboratory Results**

**a. Serum aminotransferase levels**

Patient	Reference	Normal
AST (SGOT) _____	_____ U/L	<30-50 U/L
or		
ALT (SGPT) _____	_____ U/L	<30-50 U/L

**b. Hepatitis markers**

HBsAg	Results _____
IgM anti-HBc	Results _____
IgM anti HAV	Results _____
Anti HBc	Results _____
Others (Specify)	_____

**\*ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR ROCKY MOUNTAIN SPOTTED FEVER**

Tick bite or attachment within 14 days of onset? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_ Family members with similar illness this year? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_

Travel outside of county within 14 days of onset? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ U \_\_\_\_ If yes, where? \_\_\_\_\_

<u>SEROLOGY (TITERS)</u>	Results	Date	Results	Date
Indirect fluorescent antibody (IFA)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Complement fixation (CF)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Microagglutination (MA)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Proteus OX19	_____	_____	_____	_____
Proteus OX2	_____	_____	_____	_____
Latex agglutination (LA)	_____	_____	_____	_____
Other (Specify)	_____	_____	_____	_____

**\*RABIES POSTEXPOSURE PROPHYLAXIS SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

Animal causing exposure (dog, cat, bat, skunk, etc.) \_\_\_\_\_ Specify type of exposure (bite, lick, other): \_\_\_\_\_

Animal available for 10 day observation? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_

Animal killed? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_

Animal tested? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_

Test result : Pos. \_\_\_\_ Neg. \_\_\_\_ If not observed or tested, why not? \_\_\_\_\_

Did animal exhibit signs of rabies? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ If yes, explain \_\_\_\_\_

Did animal die of natural causes? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ If yes, when? \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

If a domestic animal, was it owned? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ Was it vaccinated for rabies? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ If yes, when? \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_

Human diploid cell vaccine (HDCV) - Started \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Last HDCV \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ Total # doses \_\_\_\_

Was human rabies immune globulin (HRIG) administered? Y \_\_\_\_ N \_\_\_\_ If yes, when? \_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_/\_\_\_\_ How much? \_\_\_\_ ml.

Payment source: Private insurance \_\_\_\_ Medicaid \_\_\_\_ Medicare \_\_\_\_ Workers Comp. \_\_\_\_ Out-of-pocket \_\_\_\_ No payment \_\_\_\_